VOLUME I
TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section **Page**

Section 1.0 Executive Summary 1-1
1.1 Introduction 1-1
1.2 Purpose and Scope of the Draft EIR 1-1
1.3 Project Summary 1-2
1.4 Project Alternatives 1-3
1.5 EIR Focus and Effects Found Not to be Significant 1-4
1.5.1 Scoping Process 1-4
1.5.2 Effects Found Not to be Significant 1-5
1.6 Areas of Controversy and Issues to be Resolved 1-8
1.7 Summary of Significant Environmental Impacts 1-8
1.8 Project Sponsors and Contact Persons 1-9
1.9 Review of the Draft EIR 1-9
1.10 Mitigation Monitoring 1-10

Section 2.0 Project Description 2-1
2.1 Project Location 2-1
2.2 Existing Project Site Setting 2-1
2.3 Project Objectives 2-2
2.4 Project Description 2-3
2.4.1 Proposed Amendments 2-3
2.4.2 Proposed Specific Plan 2-3
2.4.3 Project Development Components 2-4
2.4.4 On-site Circulation 2-6
2.4.5 Landscape/Hardscape Concept and Lighting 2-6
2.4.6 Infrastructure and Utilities 2-7
2.5 Construction Activities and Phasing 2-8
2.6 Project Approvals 2-8
2.6.1 City of Fullerton 2-8
2.6.2 Responsible and Trustee Agencies 2-10
2.7 Basis for Cumulative Impact Analysis 2-10

Section 3.0 Environmental Setting, Thresholds of Significance, Environmental Impacts, Mitigation Program, Cumulative Impacts, and Level of Significance After Mitigation 3-1
3.1 Land Use and Related Planning Programs 3.1-1
3.1.1 Environmental Setting/Existing Conditions 3.1-1
3.1.2 Thresholds of Significance 3.1-4
3.1.3 Environmental Impacts 3.1-4
3.1.4 Cumulative Impacts 3.1-8
3.1.5 Mitigation Program 3.1-8
3.1.6 Level of Significance After Mitigation 3.1-8
3.2 Aesthetics 3.2-1
3.2.1 Environmental Setting/Existing Conditions 3.2-1
3.2.2 Thresholds of Significance 3.2-3
3.2.3 Environmental Impacts 3.2-3
3.2.4 Cumulative Impacts 3.2-8
3.2.5 Mitigation Program 3.2-8
3.2.6 Level of Significance After Mitigation 3.2-8
3.3 Transportation and Circulation 3.3-1
3.3.1 Environmental Setting/Existing Conditions 3.3-1

VOLUME I
TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)

Section **Page**

3.3.2 Thresholds of Significance 3.3-3
3.3.3 Project and Cumulative Impact Analysis 3.3-4
3.3.4 Mitigation Program 3.3-16
3.3.5 Level of Significance After Mitigation 3.3-17
3.4 Air Quality 3.4-1
3.4.1 Environmental Setting/Existing Conditions 3.4-1
3.4.2 Regulatory and Planning Requirements for the SCAB 3.4-2
3.4.3 Thresholds of Significance 3.4-10
3.4.4 Environmental Impacts 3.4-11
3.4.5 Cumulative Impacts 3.4-18
3.4.6 Mitigation Program 3.4-20
3.4.7 Level of Significance After Mitigation 3.4-21
3.5 Noise 3.5-1
3.5.1 Environmental Setting/Existing Conditions 3.5-1
3.5.2 Thresholds of Significance 3.5-6
3.5.3 Project Impact Analysis 3.5-7
3.5.4 Cumulative Impacts 3.5-13
3.5.5 Mitigation Program 3.5-14
3.5.6 Level of Significance After Mitigation 3.5-15
3.6 Cultural Resources 3.6-1
3.6.1 Environmental Setting/Existing Conditions 3.6-1
3.6.2 Thresholds of Significance 3.6-2
3.6.3 Environmental Impacts 3.6-2
3.6.4 Cumulative Impacts 3.6-4
3.6.5 Mitigation Program 3.6-5
3.6.6 Level of Significance After Mitigation 3.6-6
3.7 Population, Housing, and Employment 3.7-1
3.7.1 Environmental Setting/Existing Conditions 3.7-1
3.7.2 Thresholds of Significance 3.7-5
3.7.3 Environmental Impacts 3.7-6
3.7.4 Cumulative Impacts 3.7-10
3.7.5 Mitigation Program 3.7-11
3.7.6 Level of Significance After Mitigation 3.7-11
3.8 Geology and Soils 3.8-1
3.8.1 Environmental Setting/Existing Conditions 3.8-1
3.8.2 Thresholds of Significance 3.8-3
3.8.3 Environmental Impacts 3.8-3
3.8.4 Cumulative Impacts 3.8-7
3.8.5 Mitigation Program 3.8-7
3.8.6 Level of Significance After Mitigation 3.8-8
3.9 Hazards and Hazardous Materials 3.9-1
3.9.1 Environmental Setting/Existing Conditions 3.9-1
3.9.2 Thresholds of Significance 3.9-5
3.9.3 Environmental Impacts 3.9-5
3.9.4 Cumulative Impacts 3.9-7
3.9.5 Mitigation Program 3.9-7
3.9.6 Level of Significance After Mitigation 3.9-8
3.10 Biological Resources 3.10-1

VOLUME I
TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)

Section **Page**

3.10.1 Environmental Setting/Existing Conditions 3.10-1
3.10.2 Thresholds of Significance 3.10-1
3.10.3 Environmental Impacts 3.10-2
3.10.4 Cumulative Impacts 3.10-3
3.10.5 Mitigation Program 3.10-3
3.10.6 Level of Significance After Mitigation 3.10-4
3.11 Hydrology and Water Quality 3.11-1
3.11.1 Environmental Setting/Existing Conditions 3.11-1
3.11.2 Thresholds of Significance 3.11-3
3.11.3 Environmental Impacts 3.11-3
3.11.4 Cumulative Impacts 3.11-7
3.11.5 Mitigation Program 3.11-8
3.11.6 Level of Significance After Mitigation 3.11-9
3.12 Public Services 3.12-1
3.12.1 Environmental Setting/Existing Conditions 3.12-1
3.12.2 Thresholds of Significance 3.12-5
3.12.3 Environmental Impacts 3.12-5
3.12.4 Cumulative Impacts 3.12-9
3.12.5 Mitigation Program 3.12-10
3.12.6 Level of Significance After Mitigation 3.12-11
3.13 Utilities and Service Systems 3.13-1
3.13.1 Environmental Setting/Existing Conditions 3.13-1
3.13.2 Thresholds of Significance 3.13-2
3.13.3 Environmental Impacts 3.13-3
3.13.4 Cumulative Impacts 3.13-9
3.13.5 Mitigation Program 3.13-9
3.13.6 Level of Significance After Mitigation 3.13-10

Section 4.0 Alternatives 4-1
4.1 Introduction 4-1
4.1.1 Proposed Project Summary 4-1
4.1.2 Proposed Project Objectives 4-1
4.2 Alternatives to the Proposed Project 4-2
4.2.1 No Project/No development Alternative 4-2
4.2.2 No Project Alternative – Development Pursuant to the Current General Plan and Zoning Land Use Designations ... 4-5
4.2.3 For-sale Residential/Mixed-use Developmental Alternative 4-9
4.2.4 Combination of Student-oriented Housing and For-sale Residential/Mixed-use Development Alternative 4-22
4.2.5 Reduced Development Student-oriented Housing/Mixed-use Development Alternative 4-35
4.2.6 Reduced Density Combination of Student-oriented Housing and For-sale Residential/Mixed-use Development Alternative 4-38
4.3 Environmentally Superior Alternative 4-42

VOLUME I
TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)

Section **Page**

Section 5.0 Long-term Implications of the Proposed Project 5-1
5.1 Any Significant Environmental Effects Which Cannot be Avoided if the Proposed Project is Implemented 5-1
5.2 Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes Which Would be Caused by the Proposed Project Should it be Implemented 5-1
5.2.1 Commitment of Resources 5-1
5.3 Growth-Inducing Impacts of the Proposed Action 5-2
5.4 Proposed General Plan Amendment and Zoning Code Amendment 5-2
5.5 Proposed Specific Plan 5-2

Section 6.0 List of EIR Preparers and Contributors 6-1
6.1 EIR Preparers 6-1
6.1.1 City of Fullerton 6-1
6.1.2 BonTerra Consulting 6-1
6.2 Contributors 6-1

Section 7.0 References 7-1

Section 8.0 List of Acronyms and Abbreviations 8-1

LIST OF TABLES

Table **Page**

1-1 Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Program 1-11
2-1 Statistical Summary 2-4
2-2 Residential Unit Statistical Summary 2-5
3.1-1 Consistency of the Proposed Project With Land Use and Planning-related Goals and Policies 3.1-5
3.2-1 Consistency of the Proposed Project With Aesthetics-related Goals and Policies 3.2-7
3.3-1 Traffic Study Intersections 3.3-1
3.3-2 Existing (Year 2006) Intersection LOS Summary 3.3-3
3.3-3 Project Trip Generation 3.3-5
3.3-4 Year 2010 Intersection Levels of Service Summary 3.3-7
3.3-5 Future Year 2025 Intersection Levels of Service Summary 3.3-9
3.3-6 Future Year 2025 Significant Impact Analysis 3.3-11
3.3-7 Future Year 2025 With Project Mitigation 3.3-11
3.3-8 Parking Summary 3.3-13
3.3-9 Consistency of the Proposed Project With Transportation-related Goals and Policies 3.3-15
3.4-1 Ambient Air Quality Standards 3.4-5
3.4-2 Designations of Criteria Pollutants for the SCAB 3.4-7
3.4-3 Air Quality Levels Measured at the Anaheim Monitoring Station 3.4-9
3.4-4 SCAQMD Regional Pollutant Emission Thresholds of Significance 3.4-10
3.4-5 Air Pollutant Emissions During Demolition 3.4-11
3.4-6 Worst-case Peak Construction Emissions 3.4-12
3.4-7 Worst-case Projections of Carbon Monoxide Concentrations 3.4-14
3.4-8 Total Project Emissions 3.4-15
3.4-9 Consistency of the Proposed Project With Air-related Goals and Policies 3.4-16
3.5-1 Ambient Noise Measurements (dBA) 3.5-3
3.5-2 Existing Roadway Traffic Noise Levels 3.5-4
3.5-3 Noise Ordinance Standards: Residential Zone 3.5-5
3.5-4 Traffic Noise CNEL Level Increases 3.5-9
3.5-5 Future (2025) With Project Traffic Noise Levels 3.5-11
3.5-6 Maximum (Lmax) Noise Levels Generated By Parking Lots (dBA at 50 Feet) 3.5-12
3.5-7 Consistency of the Proposed Project With Noise-related Goals and Policies 3.5-13
3.6-1 Consistency of the Proposed Project With Historic and Cultural Resources-related Goals and Policies 3.6-4
3.7-1 Orange County Population, Housing and Employment: 1990–2005 ..... 3.7-1
3.7-2 City of Fullerton Population, Housing, and Employment: 1990–2005 ..... 3.7-1
3.7-3 OCP-2006 Projections for Orange County and City of Fullerton: 2003–2035 ..... 3.7-2
3.7-4 Consistency of the Proposed Project With Population and Housing-related Goals and Policies 3.7-8
3.8-1 Consistency of the Project With Geology and Soils-related Goals and Policies 3.8-6
3.9-1 Consistency of the Proposed Project With Hazards and Hazardous Materials-related Goals and Policies 3.9-7
3.10-1 Consistency of the Proposed Project With Biological Resources-related Goals and Policies 3.10-3
3.11-1 Consistency of the Proposed Project With Hydrology and Water Resources-related Goals and Policies 3.11-7
3.12-1 School Facilities and Capacity 3.12-2
3.12-2 Consistency of the Proposed Project With Public Services-related Goals and Policies 3.12-9

LIST OF TABLES
(Continued)

Table **Page**

3.13-1 Domestic Water Demand 3.13-7
3.13-2 Fire Flow Water Demand 3.13-8
3.13-3 Consistency of the Proposed Project With Utilities- and Service Systems-related Goals and Policies 3.13-8
4-1 For-sale Residential Mixed-use Development Alternative Trip Generation 4-10
4-2 For-sale Residential/Mixed-use Development Alternative Year 2010 Intersection Levels of Service Summary 4-11
4-3 For-sale Residential/Mixed-use Development Alternative Year 2025 Intersection Levels of Service Summary 4-13
4-4 Year 2025 Significant Impact Analysis For-sale Residential/Mixed-use Development Alternative 4-14
4-5 Year 2025 with Proposed Mitigation For-sale Residential/Mixed-use Development Alternative 4-15
4-6 For-sale Residential/Mixed-use Development Alternative Parking Summary 4-16
4-7 Worst-case Projections of Carbon Monoxide Concentrations for the For-sale Residential/Mixed-use Development Alternative 4-17
4-8 Total Emissions For-sale Residential/Mixed Use Development Alternative 4-17
4-9 Traffic Noise CNEL Level Increases in 2025 For-sale Residential/Mixed-use Development Alternative 4-18
4-10 Year 2025 with Traffic Noise Levels For-sale Residential/Mixed-use Development Alternative 4-20
4-11 Combination of Student-oriented Housing and For-sale Residential/Mixed-use Development Alternative Trip Generation 4-24
4-12 Year 2010 Intersection Levels of Service Summary Combination of Student-oriented Housing and For-sale Residential Mixed-use Development Alternative 4-25
4-13 Year 2025 Intersection Levels of Service Summary Combination of Student-oriented housing and For-sale Residential Mixed-use Development Alternative 4-26
4-14 Year 2025 Significant Impact Analysis Combination of Student-oriented Housing and For-sale Residential Mixed-use Development Alternative 4-27
4-15 Year 2025 with Proposed Mitigation Combination of Student-oriented Housing and For-sale Residential Mixed-use Development Alternative 4-29
4-16 Combination of Student-oriented Housing and For-sale Residential Mixed-use Development Alternative Parking Analysis 4-30
4-17 Combination of Student-oriented Housing and For-sale Residential Mixed-use Development Alternative Total Emissions 4-31
4-18 Traffic Noise CNEL Level Increases in 2025 Combination of Student-oriented Housing and For-sale Residential Mixed-use Development Alternative 4-32
4-19 Future Year 2025 with Traffic Noise Levels Combination of Student-oriented Housing and For-sale Residential Mixed-use Development Alternative 4-33

EXHIBITS

Exhibit **Follows Page**

2-1 Regional Location 2-1
2-2 Local Vicinity 2-1
2-3 Aerial View of the Project Site 2-1
2-4 Conceptual Site Plan 2-4
2-5 Conceptual Parking Structure Plan 2-5
2-6 Conceptual Landscape Plan 2-7
3.1-1 Aerial Photograph Illustrating Surrounding Land Uses 3.1-1
3.1-2 Existing General Plan Land Use Designations 3.1-2
3.1-3 Existing Zoning Designations 3.1-2
3.2-1 Photograph Locations 3.2-1
3.2-2a Existing Conditions – Views 1 and 2 ..... 3.2-1
3.2-2b Existing Conditions – Views 3 and 4 ..... 3.2-1
3.2-2c Existing Conditions – Views 5 and 6 ..... 3.2-1
3.2-2d Existing Conditions – Views 7 and 8 ..... 3.2-1
3.2-3 Conceptual Site Elevations – East Chapman Avenue and North Commonwealth Avenue 3.2-4
3.2-4 Conceptual Site Elevations – East College Place and the Proposed Project's West Side 3.2-4
3.3-1 Traffic Study Areas 3.3-1
3.3-2 Existing (2006) AM and PM Peak Hour Trips 3.3-3
3.3-3 Residential Trip Distribution 3.3-5
3.3-4 Commercial/Retail Trip Distribution 3.3-5
3.3-5 Project AM and PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes 3.3-5
3.3-6 2010 Without Project AM and PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes 3.3-5
3.3-7 2010 With Project AM and PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes 3.3-8
3.3-8 2025 Without Project AM and PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes 3.3-8
3.3-9 2025 With Project AM and PM Peak Hours 3.3-10
3.5-1 Typical A-Weighted Noise Levels 3.5-1
3.5-2 Typical Outdoor Noise Levels 3.5-2
3.5-3 Noise Measurement Locations 3.5-2
3.5-4 Construction Equipment Noise Levels 3.5-7
3.5-5 Future On-site CNEL Contours 3.5-10
4-1 For-sale Residential/Mixed-use Development Alternative — Conceptual Site Plan 4-9
4-2 Combination of Student Housing and For-sale Residential/Mixed-use Development — Conceptual Site Plan 4-23

VOLUME II
APPENDICES

A Notice of Preparation, Initial Study and Comments Received on the NOP
B Traffic Impact Analysis
C Air Quality Assessment
D Noise Assessment
E Native American Consultation
F Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation
G Phase I Environmental Site Assessment and Limited Asbestos Identification Survey Report
H Water Systems Report
I Greenhouse Gas Emissions White Paper